



FROM RAILWAYS ACT 1993 TO GREAT BRITISH RAILWAYS: EVOLUTION OF UK RAIL REFORM AND RETURN TO PUBLIC OWNERSHIP (1993–2026)

Aleksandar BLAGOJEVIĆ¹ [0009-0007-8100-1310]

Abstract – This paper analyzes the long-term reform trajectory of the United Kingdom railway system from the implementation of the Railways Act 1993 to the legislative confirmation of public ownership of Great British Railways (GBR) in 2026. The study examines the transformation from a vertically integrated public railway system (British Rail) into a fragmented, market-oriented structure based on privatization, franchising, and infrastructure separation. It further evaluates the operational, financial, and safety consequences of this model, including coordination inefficiencies, rising transaction costs, and systemic safety challenges highlighted by major infrastructure failures and accidents. Special attention is given to the collapse of Railtrack and subsequent re-nationalization of infrastructure through Network Rail, as well as the gradual reintegration process culminating in the Williams–Shapps reform agenda and the Passenger Railway Services (Public Ownership) Act 2024. The paper concludes that while privatization achieved partial improvements in traffic growth and service innovation, it failed to ensure system integration, financial sustainability, and clear accountability. The 2026 amendment legally securing GBR in public ownership is interpreted as a strategic reversal toward centralized governance of railway infrastructure and operations.

Keywords – Railway reform, Railways Act 1993, Great British Railways, privatization, public ownership.

1. INTRODUCTION

The United Kingdom railway reform represents one of the most complex structural transformations in modern European transport history. The legislative change introduced by the Railways Act 1993 initiated a systemic transition from a publicly owned, integrated railway model to a fully fragmented, market-driven structure. Over the following three decades, the system evolved through multiple phases of privatization, institutional failures, partial re-nationalization, and finally reintegration under the concept of Great British Railways (GBR). The amendment of 10 June 2026, which legally guarantees GBR remains in public ownership, marks the culmination of this long reform cycle.

1.1. Historical Context of UK Railway Reform

The reform of the UK railway system emerged from broader economic and political changes in the late 20th century, characterized by a shift toward market liberalization and reduced state intervention. These conditions created the policy environment that led to the Railways Act 1993 and the restructuring of British Rail.

2. STATE OF THE UK RAILWAYS BEFORE 1993

Before the Railways Act 1993, the railway system

was operated by British Rail, a vertically integrated state-owned enterprise. It managed infrastructure, passenger and freight services, rolling stock, maintenance, signaling systems, and national timetable planning within a single organizational structure.

Although operational responsibility was centralized, the system faced several structural limitations:

- high dependency on state subsidies
- aging infrastructure and rolling stock
- slow administrative and investment processes
- limited commercial flexibility

Despite these challenges, safety levels remained relatively stable, and the system maintained national coordination and strategic coherence.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE RAILWAYS ACT 1993

The Railways Act 1993 was introduced as part of a broader political and economic agenda of liberalization in the United Kingdom. Its main objectives were:

- reduction of public expenditure on railways
- improvement of operational efficiency through competition
- attraction of private investment into infrastructure and services
- restructuring of the railway into market-based entities

¹ Aleksandar Blagojević Srbijavoz AD Beograd, aca.vucans@gmail.com

- separation of regulatory and operational functions

The underlying assumption was that market mechanisms would deliver higher efficiency, better service quality, and reduced financial burden on the state.

3.1. Core ideas of the reform model

Structural separation

- Infrastructure: Railtrack (later Network Rail)
- Operations: multiple franchised train operating companies
- Rolling stock: leasing companies (ROSCOs)

Franchise-based competition

Competition was introduced through bidding for service contracts rather than direct operational competition on the same routes.

Market governance model

The system was designed around contractual relationships, performance monitoring, and financial incentives.

Reduced direct state operation

The state shifted from operator to regulator and contract manager

4. SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND OUTCOMES (1993–2026)

Initial phase (1993–2000)

The early years showed:

- increased passenger numbers
- new rolling stock investment
- improved service innovation

However, the system became highly fragmented.

Structural crisis phase (2000–2010)

A key turning point was the collapse of Railtrack in 2001, replaced by Network Rail. Major safety incidents (Southall, Ladbroke Grove, Hatfield) exposed weaknesses in infrastructure management and coordination.

Expansion with coordination problems (2010–2018)

Passenger growth continued, but systemic inefficiencies increased:

- delays and disruptions
- complex fare structures
- unclear accountability

System failure recognition (2018–2021)

Large-scale timetable disruptions in 2018 confirmed structural dysfunction. The Williams–Shapps Plan (2021) officially identified fragmentation as the core system problem.

Reintegration phase (2024–2026)

The Passenger Railway Services (Public Ownership) Act 2024 initiated gradual renationalization of operations. The 2026 amendment legally secured GBR as a permanently public entity.

5. REASONS FOR THE 2026 AMENDMENT

The amendment was driven by several systemic factors:

- excessive fragmentation of operational responsibilities
- lack of unified accountability
- rising transaction and coordination costs
- continued dependence on public funding
- need for long-term infrastructure planning
- political desire for institutional stability

5.1. Consequences of the 1993 reform

Positive outcomes:

- increased passenger demand
- modernization of rolling stock
- improved service standards in certain areas
- introduction of commercial discipline

Negative outcomes:

- systemic fragmentation
- increased administrative complexity
- weak accountability structure
- high coordination costs
- infrastructure safety challenges
- persistent reliance on state financial support

6. CONCLUSION

The period from 1993 to 2026 demonstrates that railway privatization in the UK produced mixed results. While it succeeded in increasing passenger usage and introducing market-oriented practices, it failed to maintain system integration, financial independence, and clear operational accountability.

The establishment of Great British Railways and the legal requirement for its public ownership in 2026 represent a strategic correction of earlier reform assumptions. The UK railway experience highlights that railway systems function most effectively when governed through integrated planning, centralized accountability, and stable long-term public ownership of critical infrastructure.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shaw, J., *The Railways Act and UK Transport Policy, Transport Policy Review*, London, 2005. Proceedings, Conference, Place, Year.
- [2] A Glaister, S., *UK Railways: Privatization and Regulation*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010.
- [3] Office of Rail and Road, *Rail Statistics 2024–2025*, London, 2025.
- [4] UK Parliament, *Passenger Railway Services (Public Ownership) Act 2024*, The Stationery Office, London, 2024.
- [5] UK Parliament, *Railways Bill – Commons Amendments securing Great British Railways in Public Ownership*, House of Commons, London, 2026.
- [6] Department for Transport, *Williams–Shapps Plan for Rail*, UK Government, London, 2021.